

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/812,917	03/31/2004	Michael Colin Begg	34-125	5698		
. 23117	7590 10/13/2006	•	EXAM	EXAMINER		
	ANDERHYE, PC	TUGBANG, ANTHONY D				
ARLINGTON	GLEBE ROAD, 11TH F I、VA 22203	LOOK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•		3729			
			DATE MAILED: 10/13/200	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)			
		10/812,91	7	BEGG, MICHAEL	COLIN		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		A. Dexter T		3729			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
WHIC - Externafter - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D assions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. openiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF TH 136(a). In no ever will apply and will te, cause the appli	S COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cation to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,		
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 A	August 2006.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	,						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) $\underline{1-10}$ are subject to restriction and/or	election requ	uirement.	·			
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		objected to by the E	Examiner.	,		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign		•	-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen		• •		04		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	-		o in this National	Stage		
* 0	application from the International Burea	-	, ,,	4			
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certin	ed copies not receive	a.			
				•			
Attachmen			🗖 .				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/812,917 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. The applicant(s) amendment filed on August 3, 2006, particularly the addition of new claims 6 through 10, has necessitated the following action.

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 5 and 10, drawn to a product of an MRI shim coil, classified in class 336, subclass 200.
 - II. Claims 1 through 4 and 6 through 9, drawn to a process of making an MRI shim coil, classified in class 29, subclass 602.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 3. Inventions of Groups II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product of Group I can be made by a materially different process, such as one the forms the required coil pattern by deposition and coating techniques, e.g. plating, CVD, etc., as opposed to cutting or punching of conductive material, as required by Group II.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3729

5. <u>If applicant(s) elect the invention of Group II</u>, then this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Species A, B and C. The species are independent or distinct because:

Species A, Claim 7, is directed to features of first and second cutting steps in forming bridges of material and an insulating substrate, as this feature is not required in Species B and C.

Species B, Claim 8, is directed to a feature of creating a continuous spiral-like cut path, which is not required in Species A and C; and

Species C, Claim 9, is directed to creating plural parallel cut paths in the conductive material to create opposing ends that are bent, which is not required in Species A and B.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claim 6 is generic in the invention of Group II.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

NOTE: Claims 1 through 4 will be examined along with generic Claim 6 in the invention of Group II, as there would be no burdensome search to examine Claims 1 through 4 with Claim 6.

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Dexter Tugbang whose telephone number is 571-272-4570. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/812,917 Page 5

Art Unit: 3729

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

A. Dexter Tugbang

Art Unit 3729

October 10, 2006